(The) Nuclear Force from lattice QCD

Noriyoshi ISHII (Univ. of Tsukuba)

in collaboration with

Sinya AOKI (Univ. of Tsukuba) Tetsuo HATSUDA (Univ. of Tokyo) Hidekatsu NEMURA (RIKEN)

\star Plan of the talk:

- ➢ PHASE 1
 - ✓ Introduction and Backgroud
 - ✓ Formalism
 - ✓ Lattice QCD results

➢ PHASE 2

- ✓ Subtleties of our potential (at short distance)
- ✓ Inverse scattering
- Summary

For detail, see N.Ishii, S.Aoki, T.Hatsuda, Phys.Rev.Lett.**99**,022001(2007).





Introduction

- \checkmark The nuclear force is one of the most important building blocks in nuclear physics
 - ✓The attraction in the medium to long distance is responsible for bound nuclei.
 - ✓ The repulsive core at short distance plays an important role for the stability of nuclei.
- ✓ The repulsive core is important also for astro-physics
 - --- the maximum mass of the neutron star
 - --- the ignition of the type II supernova explosion





- ✓ Enormous efforts have been devoted to the theoretical studies of the nuclear force starting from the Yukawa's original paper 72 yeas ago:
 - ➢ H. Yukawa, Proc. Math. Phys. Japan 17, 48 (1935)
 - ➢ R.Machleidt, I.Slaus, J.Phys.**G27**,R69(2001).



Introduction (cont'd)

Nature of nuclear force is understood in the three spatial regions.



— One has desired the QCD understanding of the nuclear force for a long time.

Convensional lattice QCD approach to various potentials

> static qqbar potential

> two static quarks (Wilson lines) are introduced to fix the locations of (anti-) quark.



\succ One has attempted to extend it to the NN potential

A static quark in introduced in each nucleon to fix the location of the two nucleons



cf) T.T.Takahashi et al., AIP Conf.Proc.842,246(2006).

- ★ If we include meson-meson potential and color SU(2) case, there are quite many articles. (published ones only)
 ✓ D.G.Richards et al., PRD42, 3191 (1990).
 ✓ A.Mihaly et la., PRD55, 3077 (1997).
 ✓ C.Stewart et al., PRD57, 5581 (1998).
 ✓ C.Michael et al., PRD60, 054012 (1999).
 ✓ P.Pennanen et al, NPPS83, 200 (2000).
 ✓ A.M.Green et al., PRD61, 014014 (2000).
 ✓ H.R Fiebig, NPPS106, 344 (2002); 109A, 207 (2002).
 ✓ T.Doi et al., AIP Conf. Proc. 842, 246 (2006).
- > This is an elaborate methods. However, it has not yet successfully reproduced NN potential so far.
- This method does not provide a realistic potential for light flavor hadrons, which is faithful to the scattering data ---scattering length and phase shifts.

We use a totally different method.

We will extend the method recently proposed by CP-PACS collaboration,

CP-PACS collab., S. Aoki et al., PRD71,094504(2005)

in studying pion-pion scattering length.

Sketch of our method (PHASE 1):

- (1) NN wave function is constructed by using lattice QCD.
- (2) The NN potential is reconstructed from the wave function by demanding that the wave function should satisfy the Schrodinger equation.



GOOD FEATURE

Since our potential is constructed from the wave function, it is expected to provided an NN potential, which is faithful to the NN scattering data.

The Formalism (PHASE 1)

★ Schroedinger-like eq. for NN system.

$$\left(\vec{\nabla}^2 + k^2\right)\phi(\vec{r}) = m_N \int d^3r' U(\vec{r}, \vec{r}')\phi(\vec{r}')$$

☆ For derivation, see C.-J.D.Lin et al., NPB619,467 (2001).
 S.Aoki et al., CP-PACS Collab., PRD71,094504(2005).
 S.Aoki, T.Hatsuda, N.Ishii in preparation.

- \bigstar The interaction kernel U(r,r') is non-local.
- ☆ Various symmetries restrict possible forms of U(r,r').
 Derivative expansion at low energy leads us to

$$V_{NN}(\vec{r}, \vec{\nabla}) \delta(\vec{r} - \vec{r}') \equiv U(\vec{r}, \vec{r}').$$

$$V_{NN} = V_C(r) + V_T(r) S_{12} + V_{LS}(r) \vec{L} \cdot \vec{S} + O(\vec{\nabla}^2).$$

These three interactions play the most important role in the conventional nuclear physics

 $-\frac{\vec{\nabla}^2}{2\mu}\phi(\vec{r}) + V_{NN}\phi(\vec{r}) = E\phi(\vec{r})$ $E \equiv \frac{k^2}{2\mu}, \mu = \frac{m_N}{2} \text{ reduced mass}$ $V_{\rm C}(r) \text{ central "force"} V_{\rm T}(r) \text{ tensor "force"} V_{\rm LS}(r) \text{ LS "force"}$

 \Rightarrow If we have wave function $\phi(r)$, the potential may be schematically expressed as

$$V_{NN} = E + \frac{1}{2\mu} \frac{\vec{\nabla}^2 \phi(\vec{r})}{\phi(\vec{r})}$$

 V_{NN} involves derivative and matrix structure

←only schematical sense



$$S_{12} \equiv 3(\vec{\sigma}_1 \cdot \hat{r})(\vec{\sigma}_2 \cdot \hat{r}) - \vec{\sigma}_1 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_2$$

Explicit expression for φ is
 $\phi(\vec{r}) \Leftrightarrow \phi_{i_1,\alpha_1;i_2,\alpha_2}(\vec{x}_1,\vec{x}_2)$
 $\vec{r} \equiv \vec{x}_2 - \vec{x}_1$

General form of NN potential

 \star By imposing following constraints:

- Probability (Hermiticity):
- Energy-momentum conservation:
- · Galilei invariance:
- · Spatial rotation:
- · Spatial reflection:
- Time reversal:
- · Quantum statistics:
- · Isospin invariance:

The most general (off-shell) form of NN potential is given as follows: [see S.Okubo, R.E.Marshak,Ann.Phys.4,166(1958)]

$$V = V^{0} + V^{\tau} \cdot (\vec{\tau}_{1} \cdot \vec{\tau}_{2})$$

$$V^{i} = V_{0}^{i} + V_{\sigma}^{i} \cdot (\vec{\sigma}_{1} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_{2}) + V_{LS}^{i} \cdot (\vec{L} \cdot \vec{S}) + \{V_{T}^{i}, S_{12}\} + \frac{1}{2}\{V_{\sigma p}^{i}, (\vec{\sigma}_{1} \cdot \vec{p})(\vec{\sigma}_{2} \cdot \vec{p})\} + \frac{1}{2}\{V_{Q}^{i}, Q_{12}^{i}\}$$

$$Q_{12} = \frac{1}{2} \left[(\vec{\sigma}_{1} \cdot \vec{L})(\vec{\sigma}_{2} \cdot \vec{L}) + (\vec{\sigma}_{2} \cdot \vec{L})(\vec{\sigma}_{1} \cdot \vec{L}) \right]$$

where $V_j^i = V_j^i (\vec{r}^2, \vec{p}^2, \vec{L}^2), \quad \vec{p} \equiv i \vec{\nabla}$

 \star If we keep the terms up to O(p), we are left with the convensional form of the potential in nuclear physics:

$$V = V_0(r) + V_{\sigma}(r)(\vec{\sigma}_1 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_2) + V_{LS}(r)\vec{L} \cdot \vec{S} + V_T(r)S_{12} + O(\vec{\nabla}^2).$$

$$V_C(r)$$

¹<u>S₀</u> channel (The schematical expression becomes mathematically sound)

L=0, S=0
$$\Rightarrow$$
 only $V_C(r)$ survives
 $V_{NN} = V_C(r) + V_T(r) S_{12} + V_{LS}(r) \vec{L} \cdot \vec{S} + O(\vec{\nabla}^2)$
 $\cong V_C(r)$

 \star We are left with a conventional Schroedinger equation.

$$-\frac{\vec{\nabla}^2}{2\mu}\phi(\vec{r}) + V_{\rm C}(r)\phi(\vec{r}) = E\phi(\vec{r})$$

★ $V_C(r)$ is an ordinary function. ⇒ $V_C(r)$ can be expressed as

 \star

$$V_C(r) = E + \frac{1}{2\mu} \frac{\vec{\nabla}^2 \phi(\vec{r})}{\phi(\vec{r})}$$

Mathematically sound expression We will use this to calculate NN potential.

cf) Deuteron $\Leftrightarrow {}^{3}S_{1}$

NN wave function

>In QCD, the non-rela. NN wave function is only an approximate concept.

 \succ The closest concept is provided by

equal-time Bethe-Salpeter(BS) wave function

 $\phi_{\alpha\beta}(\vec{x} - \vec{y}) \equiv \left\langle 0 \middle| T \left[p_{\alpha}(\vec{x}, t) n_{\beta}(\vec{y}, 0) \right] pn \right\rangle \Big|_{t \to +0}$

 $p_{\alpha}(x) \equiv \varepsilon_{abc} (u_{\alpha} C \gamma_5 d_b) u_{c\alpha}$ $n_{\alpha}(y) \equiv \varepsilon_{abc} (u_a C \gamma_5 d_b) d_{co}$

- \succ It is a probability amplitude to find three quarks at x and another three quarks at y.
- > Asympotoic behavior at large r \equiv |x-y|,

$$\phi(r) \approx e^{i\delta_0(k)} \frac{\sin(kr + \delta_0(k))}{kr} + \cdots$$

> BS wave function for NN is obtained from the nucleon four point function.







Lattice QCD parameters

- 1. Quenched QCD is used.
- 2. Standard Wilson gauge action.
 - ✓ β= 6/g² = 5.7
 - ✓ a ~0.14 fm (from pmass in the chiral limit)
 - ✓ 32⁴ lattice (**L~4.4 fm**)
 - ✓ 1000-2000 gauge configs (3000 sweeps for thermalization. The gauge config is separated by 200 sweeps)

Standard Wilson quark action

- ✓ κ=0.1665
- ✓ m_{π} ~0.53 GeV, m_{ρ} ~0.88 GeV, m_{N} ~1.34 GeV

✓ Dirichlet (periodic) BC along temporal (spatial) direction Wall source on the time-slice t = $t_0 = 5$ NN wave function is measured on the time-slice t- $t_0 = 6$ ①

cf) M.Fukugita et al., Phys. Rev. D**52**, 3003 (1995).

Blue Gene/L at KEK has been used for the Monte Carlo calculations.





★ effective mass plot of NN





suggests an existence of repulsion.



Convergence with respect to time-slice

$$F_{NN}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}, t; t_{0}) = \langle 0 | p(\vec{x}, t) n(\vec{y}, t) \ \overline{p}(\vec{0}, t_{0}) \overline{n}(\vec{0}, t_{0}) | 0 \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{m} \langle 0 | p(\vec{x}) n(\vec{y}) | m \rangle e^{-E_{m}(t-t_{0})} \langle m | \overline{p}(\vec{0}) \overline{n}(\vec{0}) | 0 \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{\vec{k}} A_{pn(k)} \ e^{-E_{pn}(\vec{k}^{2})(t-t_{0})} \ \phi(\vec{x} - \vec{y}; \ pn(k)) + \cdots$$

Quenched QCD artifact

 \bigstar quenched QCD includes only a part of iso-scalar exchange diagram

leading to the following contribution, which can spoil the OPEP behavior at long distance.

$$V_{C}^{\eta}(r) = \frac{g_{\eta N}^{2}}{4\pi} \frac{\vec{\sigma}_{1} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_{2}}{3} \left(\frac{m_{\pi}}{2m_{N}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{m_{0}^{2}}{2m_{\pi}}\right) e^{-m_{\pi}r}$$
 For detail, see
S.R.Beane, M.J.Savage PLB535,177(2002).

<u>³S₁ channel (channel of Deuteron)</u>

 \star coupling with ${}^{3}D_{1}$, $V_{T}(r)$ and $V_{LS}(r)$ survives in this channel.

$$V_{NN} = V_C(r) + V_T(r) S_{12} + V_{LS}(r) \vec{L} \cdot \vec{S} + O(\vec{\nabla}^2)$$

★ To obtain these potentials, we have to consider coupled equations such as ${}^{3}S_{1}, {}^{3}D_{1}, {}^{3}D_{2}$

 \Rightarrow V_C(r), V_T(r), V_{LS}(r) are obtained simultaneously.

 \star In this talk, for simplicity, we apply the same procedure as ${}^{1}S_{0}$ to ${}^{3}S_{1}$.

```
As a result, we obtain:
```

what nuclear physicists call "effective central force", i.e., It is an effective $V_{C}(r)$, which takes into account the coupling with ${}^{3}D_{1}$ via $V_{T}(r)$.

quark mass dependence

- The repulsive core at short distance grows rapidly in the light quark mass region.
- \checkmark The medium range attraction is enhanced.
 - \checkmark less significant in magnitude
 - \checkmark range of attraction tends to become wider
- ✓ It is necessary to perform a Monte Carlo calculation in the light quark mass region.

Our potential gives "correct" scattering length by construction

The following two are formally equivalent in the limit $L \rightarrow \infty$.

- > scattering length obtained with our potential by using the standard scattering theory.
- > scattering length from Luescher's finite volume method.

Idea of proof:

'm_π=364MeV m_π=529MeV

m_=731MeV

15

2.0

30

20

10

0

-10

-20

-30

0.0

0.5

1.0

r [fm]

r² V_C(r) [MeV fm²]

Luescher's finite volume method uses the information on the long distance part of BS wave function. Our potential is so constructed to generate exactly the same BS wave function at the energy of the input BS wave function.

Luescher's method \Rightarrow a=0.123(39) fmOur potential \Rightarrow a=0.066(22) fm(The discrepancy is due to the finite size effect)

\star comments:

(1) net interaction is attraction.

Born approx. formula:

$$a_0 \cong -m_N \int V_C(r) r^2 dr$$

Attrractive part can hide the repulsive core inside.

PHASE 2 Subtleties of our potential (at short distance)

★ Interpolating field dependence:

Short distance part of BS wave function(W.F.) depends on a particular choice of interpolating field.

Although BS wave functions at long distance show the universal behavior

$$\phi(r) \approx e^{i\delta_0(k)} \frac{\sin(kr + \delta_0(k))}{kr} + \cdots$$
 (s-wave)

which leads to the operator independent way of calculating the scattering phase shift, such universality is absent at short distance \Rightarrow operator dependence of BS W.F. at short distance.

★ Energy dependent potential v.s. non-Hermitian potential:

Most probably, the orthogonality of equal-time BS wave functions will fail, i.e.,

$$\sum_{\vec{x}} \phi^*(\vec{x}; E_1) \phi(\vec{x}; E_2) \neq 0 \text{ even for } E_1 \neq E_2$$

 \Rightarrow they are not simultaneous eigen functions of single Hermitian Hamiotonian.

 \Rightarrow Eigen functions of Energy dependent potential / Energy independent but non-Hermitian potential.

We propose to avoid these subtleties by using a knowledge of the **inverse scattering theory**, which gurantees the existence of the unique energy-independent local potential for phase shifts $\delta_1(E)$ at all E for fixed I. Advantage:

- The result does not depend on a particular choice of nucleon operator. It is constructed from the scattering phase shift, which is free from the operator dependence problem.
- ➢ Potential is Hermitian.
- ➤ There are many ways to define non-local potentials. The local potential is unique. ← Inverse scattering
- > Local potentials are simpler to be used in practice than non-local ones.

Outline (PHASE 2)

Instead of using the inverse scattering directly on the lattice, we go the following way:

1. Construction of E-indep. non-local potential $U_{NL}(x,x')$: $(E_i - H_0)\psi_{E_i}(\vec{x}) \equiv K_{E_i}(\vec{x}) = \sum_{\vec{x}'} U_{NL}(\vec{x},\vec{x}')\psi_{E_i}(\vec{x}')$ We may construction with respective to the second second

We may consider $\Psi_{E_i}(\vec{x}')$ as a matrix with respect to index (x', E_i).

Non-local and non-hermitian, but energy independent and correct phase shift

2. Deform the short distance part of BS wave function without affecting the long distance part

$$\phi_{E_i}(\vec{x}) \equiv \sum_x \Lambda(\vec{x}, \vec{x}') \psi_{E_i}(\vec{x}')$$

3. Seek for such $\Lambda(x,x')$ that can lead to a local $V_L(x)$ through the relation

$$[H_0,\Lambda] + \Lambda U_{NL} = V_L \Lambda$$

 $(H_0 + U_{NL})\psi_{E_i} = E_i\psi_{E_i}$ $\bigcup \Lambda(\vec{x}, \vec{x}')$ $(H_0 + V_L)\phi_{E_i} = E_i\phi_{E_i}$

Once such $V_L(x)$ is found, it is the unique solution to the inverse scattering problem, i.e., $V_L(x)$ is the unique local potential, which reproduces the same phase shift as $U_{NL}(x,x')$.

★ For practical lattice calculation, it is difficult to obtain all BS wave function.

 \Rightarrow derivative expansion to take into account the non-locality of U_{NL}(x,x') term by term.

$$U_{NL}(\vec{x}, \vec{x}') = (U_0(\vec{x}) + U_1(\vec{x})\nabla + \cdots)\delta(\vec{x} - \vec{x}')$$

In this context, our potential at **PHASE 1** serves as 0-th step of this procedure.

 $\Lambda(\vec{x}, \vec{x}') = \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{x}'), \ \phi_{E_i}(\vec{x}) = \psi_{E_i}(\vec{x})$

<u>Hyperon potentials (PHASE 1)</u>

- Our method can be applied to hyperon potentials YN & YY
- ➢ NΞ potential as a first step
 - ✓ Main target of the J-PARC DAY-1 experiment
 - ✓ Few experimental information so far
- I=1 channels (¹S₀, ³S₁)
 I=0 channels are not the lowest state

Basic data:

 m_{π} =509.8(5) MeV, m_{K} =603.7(5) MeV, m_{ρ} =859(2) MeV m_{N} =1297(4) MeV, m_{Ξ} =1415(4) MeV $a\sim$ 0.142 fm(1/a \sim 1.39 GeV)

J-PARC

H.Nemura, N.Ishii, S.Aoki, T.Hatsuda in preparation

Summary

1. PHASE 1: NN potential is calcuclated with lattice QCD.

We extended the method, which was recently proposed by CP-PACS collaboration in studying pion-pion scattering length.

- 1. All the qualitative properties of nuclear force have been reproduced
 - Repulsive core (~600 MeV) at short distance (r < 0.5fm).
 - ✓ Attraction (~30 MeV) at medium distance (0.5 fm < r < 1.2 fm). (The attraction is weak due to the heavy pion (m_{π} ~530 MeV).)

Results of quark mass dependence suggest that, in the light quark mass region,

- ✓ Repulsive core at short distance is enhanced.
- \checkmark Medium range attraction is enhanced.

We applied our method to hyperon potential (N \equiv (I=1)).

- 2. PHASE 2: To avoid the subtleties of operator dependence at short distance, we proposed to resort to the inverse scattering theory, which provides us with the operator independent definition of the local energy-independent potential.
- 3. Future plans:
 - Physical origin of the repulsive core
 (dependences on the quark mass, the flavor structure, ...)
 - ✓ Hyperon potential (Sigma N, Lambda N, Lambda Lambda, Xi N, etc.) is going on.
 - \checkmark LS force and tensor force, etc.
 - ✓ Performing the plan in **PHASE 2**.
 - physical quark mass, unquenched QCD, large spatial volume, finer discretization, chiral quark actions, ...