

#### Pion form factor

Shoji Hashimoto (KEK) @ "Hadron Physics on the Lattice," Milos, Greece, Sep 10, 2007.



# Lattice (want to) meet $\chi PT$

Unquenched lattice QCD is now entering the region of χPT.

- Was not sure, say 5 years ago.
  - Most likely due to too heavy sea quarks
  - Perhaps, also due to the broken chiral symmetry of Wilson fermion

JLQCD (2002)









#### 5 years back; many concerns

- Wilson fermion may contain fundamental problems near the chiral limit?
  - Exceptional configurations (trajectories)
     Not true in large enough volume (CERN)
  - (Unphysical) phase transition
    - $\Rightarrow$  True, but only near the chiral limit for small enough a (Sharpe-Shingleton, preETMC, ...)
  - Cost scales too badly  $\sim m_q^{-3}$ 
    - $\Rightarrow$  overcame by Hasenbusch and RHMC; lightest pion 500 MeV  $\rightarrow$  300 MeV



## Now; much better shape

- Wilson fermion will be okay. Even better formulations on the market.
  - Not just Wilson
     TMQCD explicitly avoids the instability
  - Chiral
  - domain-wall, overlap now feasible: No (or little) problem of explicit chiral violation
    γPT

now available for non-chiral lattice fermions and even for mixed actions





- With  $m_{\pi}$ ~300 MeV, many groups are now testing the consistency with  $\chi$ PT.
  - Can we see the  $\chi \log$ ?
  - Extract Low Energy Constants L<sub>1</sub>~L<sub>10</sub>
- From the easiest to more difficult
  - Pion mass & decay constant (also kaon)
  - Pion form factor (also kaon)
  - pi-pi scattering, ...







6

#### Plan

- I. Pion mass and decay constant
  - Taking our (JLQCD's) recent data as an example
- 2. Pion form factor
  - How to calculate, with better precision
  - Fit forms:VMD, χPT, ...
- 3. Testing chiral log with pion form factor
  - Chiral extrapolation of charge radius



# I. Pion mass and decay constant





0

Sep 10, 2007 S Hashimoto (KEK)

# With dynamical overlap

- JLQCD collaboration (2006~)
- Exact chiral symmetry
  - No complication due to modified  $\chi$ PT (fit with additional unknown parameters)
  - Chiral limit exists: no instability, no phase transition as occurred for Wilson
  - ε-regime possible
  - At the cost of limiting physical volume...





# 9

#### **Overlap fermion**

$$D = \frac{1}{a} \left[ 1 + \gamma_5 \operatorname{sgn}(H_W) \right]$$

Implementation:

- Use with the standard Wilson kernel
- Low-lying modes of H<sub>W</sub> projected out, treated exactly
- Rest of the eigenmodes approximated by a rational function to a 10<sup>-(7-8)</sup> precision = "exact" chiral symmetry



#### Near-zero mode suppression

- = Key for the feasibility
  - By adding unphysical (heavy) fermions and bosons  $det\left(\frac{H_W^2}{H_W^2 + \mu^2}\right) = \int d\chi^{\dagger} d\chi \exp\left[-S_E\right]$

overlap operation gets much cheaper.



No extra-cost due to eigenmodes passing zero



## **Topology conservation**

- Topological charge Q freezes during HMC, problem? --- Yes and No.
  - $\theta$  vacuum is not sampled correctly.
  - Property of the continuum gauge action
    - If your simulation changes topology, you are still far away from continuum!
  - Fixed Q induces finite volume effect  $\sim I/(\chi_t V)$ 
    - You don't have to know the topological charge of the whole universe!
    - Topological fluctuation is on-going locally.







#### QCD at fixed Q

Brower et al, PLB560, 64 (2003); Aoki et al., arXiv:0707.0396 [hep-lat]

- $\theta$ =0 physics can be reconstructed
  - $\circ$  Fixed  $\theta$  and fixed Q are related to each other by a Fourier transform
  - **Q** distribution primarily governed by  $\chi_t$ .  $Z(\theta) = \exp\left[-V\left(\frac{\chi_t}{2}\theta^2 + O(\theta^4)\right)\right]; Z_Q = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta Z(\theta)e^{i\theta Q}$
  - General n-point function related as

$$G_{Q \text{ (even)}} = G(\theta = 0) + G^{(2)} \frac{1}{2\chi_t V} \left[ 1 - \frac{Q^2}{\chi_t V} \right] + O(1/V^2)$$



## Topological susceptibility

• Applying the formula for the flavor-singlet PS density,  $\chi_t$  can be extracted.

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} \left\langle mP(x)mP(0) \right\rangle_{\mathcal{Q}} = -\frac{1}{V} \left( \chi_t - \frac{Q^2}{V} + O(1/V) \right) + O(e^{-m_\eta \cdot x})$$





Local topological fluctuation is indeed active as expected.



#### Simulation

#### Dynamical overlap runs

- N<sub>f</sub>=2 runs completed; now 2+1 running
- *a* = 0.11~0.12 fm, 16<sup>3</sup>x32 lattice
- 6 sea quark masses in m<sub>q</sub>=m<sub>s</sub>/6~m<sub>s</sub>
- I0,000 traj for each run
- Also done an ε-regime run at m=3 MeV.





## Benefit from low modes

Measurements at every 20 traj  $\Rightarrow$  500 conf / m<sub>sea</sub>

- Improved measurements
  - 50 pairs of low modes calculated and stored.
  - Used for low mode preconditioning (deflation)
     ⇒ (multi-mass) solver is then x8 faster
  - Low mode averaging (and all-to-all)





#### Finite volume corrections

- At L~1.9 fm (smallest  $M_{\pi}L$ ~3), FSE is not negligible.
- χPT at NNLO
  - Colangelo-Durr-Haefeli, NPB721, 136 (2005)
- Fixed topology

 $\chi_t$ .

 Aoki et al., arXiv:0707.0396 with NLO χPT and measured





#### NNLO analysis

# NNLO $\chi$ PT predicts the mass dependence as

$$\frac{m_{\pi}^{2}}{m_{q}} = 2B_{\theta} \left[ 1 + \xi \ln \xi + \frac{7}{2} (\xi \ln \xi)^{2} + \left(\frac{2L_{4}}{f} - \frac{4}{3}(\tilde{L} + 16)\right) \xi^{2} \ln \xi \right]$$
$$+ L_{3} (\xi - 9\xi^{2} \ln \xi) + K_{1} \xi^{2}$$
$$f_{\pi} = f \left[ 1 - 2\xi \ln \xi + 5 (\xi \ln \xi)^{2} - \frac{3}{2} \left(\tilde{L} + \frac{53}{2}\right) \xi^{2} \ln \xi \right]$$
$$+ L_{4} (\xi - 10\xi^{2} \ln \xi) + K_{2} \xi^{2}$$
simultaneous fit input:  $\tilde{L} = 7 \ln \left(\frac{\Lambda_{1}}{4\pi f}\right)^{2} + 8 \ln \left(\frac{\Lambda_{2}}{4\pi f}\right)^{2}$  from phenomenology







#### NNLO analysis

Also, NNLO'

$$\frac{m_{\pi}^{2}}{m_{q}} = 2B_{0} \left( 1 + \xi \ln \xi + \frac{7}{2} (\xi \ln \xi)^{2} \right) + L_{3}\xi + K_{1}' \xi^{2}$$
$$f_{\pi} = f \left( 1 - 2\xi \ln \xi + 5 (\xi \ln \xi)^{2} \right) + L_{4}\xi + K_{2}' \xi^{2}$$

Noaki at Lattice 2007



Data slightly favor NNLO, though statistically not significant.



#### LECs





#### Lessons, if not conclusions

- With exact chiral symmetry, test of  $\chi$ PT is possible.
- Need very good precision for the test, otherwise χlog is not significant.
- With our mass range, NLO and NNLO lead to different chiral limit = NNLO is necessary.

• Finite size effect is important for L<2 fm.



#### 2. Pion form factor





0

Sep 10, 2007 S Hashimoto (KEK)



#### Pion form factor



• The simplest form factor

 $\langle \pi(p') | V_{\mu} | \pi(p) \rangle = i(p_{\mu} + p_{\mu}') F_{V}(q^{2}), \quad q_{\mu} \equiv p_{\mu}' - p_{\mu}$ 

- Momentum transfer  $q_{\mu}$  by a virtual photon. Space-like (q<sup>2</sup><0) in the  $\pi e \rightarrow \pi e$  process.
- Vector form factor  $F_V(q^2)$  normalized as  $F_V(0)=1$ , because of the vector current conservation.

$$F_V(q^2) = 1 + \frac{1}{6} \langle r^2 \rangle_V^{\pi} q^2 + O(q^4),$$

• Vector (or EM) charge radius  $\langle r^2 \rangle_V^{\pi}$  is defined through the slope at q<sup>2</sup>=0.



#### Lattice calculation of 3pt function



∆t', p'

t

 $\boldsymbol{g}$ 

23

tı

Γ', φ' (x',t')

Δt, p

c.f. 2pt func

Γ, φ (x.t)

 $\gamma_5, \varphi_1$ 

to

- An interpolating operator for the initial state  $\pi(p)$  at t=t<sub>0</sub>
- Another interpolating operator for the final state  $\pi(p')$  at t=t<sub>1</sub>
- Current insertion  $V_{\mu}$  in the middle t.
- Spatial momentum inserted at two operators.
- (sequential) source method
  - Calculate a quark propagator starting from a previous quark propagator at t.

 $(\mathbb{D}+m)S_2(x) = e^{i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{x}}\Gamma S_1(x)\delta(x_0-t)$ Sep 10, 2007 S Hashimoto (KEK)





 At large enough time separations ∆t=t-t<sub>0</sub>, ∆t'=t<sub>1</sub>t, the ground state pions dominate. Extra factors can be taken off with 2pt functions.



$$R(\Delta t, \Delta t'; \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}')$$



• Then, consider a ratio

$F_{\pi}(q^2)$	=	$2M_{\pi}$	$R^{\pi\pi}_{\mu,\phi,\phi'}(\Delta t,\Delta t';\mathbf{p},\mathbf{p}')$
		$\overline{E(p) + E(p')}$	$R^{\pi\pi}_{4,\phi,\phi'}(\Delta t,\Delta t';0,0)$





#### A recent calculation



#### Lattice signal

- Look for a plateau, where the ground state pion dominates.
- Noisier for larger pion momentum.

#### • Note:

 The actual data were obtained using the all-to-all technique, so that the data points at different t<sub>0</sub>,t,t<sub>1</sub> and different momentum combinations can all be averaged.





#### All-to-all

#### To improve the signal

- Usually, the quark propagator is calculated with a fixed initial point (one-to-all)
- Average over initial point (or momentum config) will improve statistics; possible with all-to-all



## An example: two-point func

Dramatic improvement of the signal, thanks to the averaging over source points

- Similar to the low mode averaging; but allto-all can be used for any n-point func.
- PP correlator is dominated by the lowmodes







#### Form factor at a glance



- All-to-all ⇒ many momentum combinations
   (1,0,0) → (0,1,0), etc. in units of 2π/L.
- q<sup>2</sup> dependence well approximated by a vector meson pole + corrections

$$F_{\pi}(q^2) = \frac{1}{1 - q^2 / m_V^2} + c_1 q^2 + \dots$$

with  $m_V$  obtained at the same quark mass.





#### Analyticity

 Vector meson dominance: a result of the analyticity.

 $F(q^{2}) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint dt \frac{F(t)}{t - q^{2}} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} dt \frac{\operatorname{Im} F(t)}{t - q^{2}}$ 

- In the heavier quark mass region, ρ meson is a nearest isolated pole. ππ is subleading.
- For the physical quark mass,  $\pi\pi$  is nearest.  $\rho$  is a part of  $\pi\pi$  (broad resonance).





#### Fit forms

• Pole ansatz

$$F_{\pi}(q^2) = \frac{1}{1 - q^2 / m_{pole}^2}$$

- Fit with m<sub>pole</sub> a free parameter
- Not consistent with the analyticity.
- Fixed pole +

$$F_{\pi}(q^2) = \frac{1}{1 - q^2 / m_V^2} + c_1 q^2 + \dots$$

 Pole mass from the vector meson; model other effects by polynomials Quenched results compiled in Abdel-Rehim, Lewis, PRD71, 014503 (2005)



Fit form doesn't matter when the error is large.





#### Quenched references

- Bonnet et al. [LHP collaboration], PRD72, 054506 (2005): Wilson.
- Van der Heide et al., PLB556, I3I (2003): clover.
- Nemoto [RBC collaboration], Lattice 2003: domain-wall.
- Abdel-Rehim, Lewis, PRD71,014503 (2005): twisted mass.

Quenched results compiled in Abdel-Rehim, Lewis, PRD71, 014503 (2005)





#### Precise unquenched data



#### New JLQCD data

- All-to-all
  - improves statistics
  - increases data points without extra cost
- Pole fit tested
  - Correction to the single pole is visible.





Similar improvement observed by ETMC (Simula at Lattice 2007)

- Nf=2 twisted mass fermion
- All-to-all
  - But without the lowmode projection
- twisted boundary condition
  - Momentum smaller than  $2\pi/L$  accessible







#### QCDSF

Extensive data with Nf=2 O(a)-improved Wilson fermion

(Brommel et al, Lattice 2005; Brommel et al, EPJC51, 335 (2007).)

- Data at several lattice spacings.
- Lightest sea quark corresponds to M<sub>π</sub>=400 MeV.
- Data fitted to the pole ansatz.





# 3. Testing chiral log with pion form factor





0

Sep 10, 2007 S Hashimoto (KEK)

#### Chiral extrapolation

•  $\chi$ PT predicts  $\chi$ log

$$\left\langle r^{2} \right\rangle_{V}^{\pi} = -\frac{1}{\left(4\pi f_{\pi}\right)^{2}} \left[ \ln \frac{m_{\pi}^{2}}{\mu^{2}} + 12(4\pi)^{2} L_{9} + O(m_{\pi}^{2}) \right]$$

 Must diverge in the chiral limit: pion cloud gets larger.



- Valid only in the region where  $2m_{\pi}^{<}m_{\rho}^{-}$ .
- VMD

$$\left\langle r^2 \right\rangle_V^\pi = 6 / m_V^2$$

 Mass dependence not well understood.







#### Chiral extrapolation

- Lattice data
  - Mass dependence very similar to VMD, but the difference is visible.
  - χlog may become significant beyond the region of lattice data.
  - Assuming the NLO χlog and NNLO analytic term.



 $\left\langle r^2 \right\rangle_V^{\pi} = 0.388(9)(12) \,\mathrm{fm}^2$ 

Lower than the exp number, even after the chiral enhancement.

# Comparison (unquenched)



ETMC points read off from the slide by Simula: my fault if it's not precise.

- Recent unquenched calculations
  - Poor agreement: due to different fit ansatz?
  - QCDSF seems consistent with the exp number.
  - χlog not clear: how to distinguish χlog from the mass dependence of 6/m<sub>v</sub><sup>2</sup>?





#### Discussions

- Systematic errors to be considered.
  - Finite volume effect known only at NLO.
- To ensure theoretical consistency, need a framework including the vector resonance.
  - Resonance χPT
  - Hidden local symmetry
- Scalar form factor would be less problematic (scalar pole is far), but contains disconnected loop. Calculation is on-going (JLQCD).

